1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON
3	IN THE MATTER OF) U.S. ARMY,)
4	Appellant,) PCHB No. 79-33
5) V.) FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,
6) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION) AND ORDER
7	CONTROL AUTHORITY,
8	Respondent.

This matter being an appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I; having come before the Pollution Control Hearings Board by submission in writing as agreed to by the parties' representatives, and the appellant U. S. Army represented by George C. Ryker, Colonel, JAGC; and respondent Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority being represented by its attorney, James D. Ladley; the Board having considered the written arguments and affidavits of the parties, records and files herein, and having reviewed the Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order of the Presiding Officer, and the Presiding Officer having served said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Law and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and

The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 12th day of September, 1979, and incorporated by reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein.

DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 1979.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

NAT W. WASHINGTON, Chairman

DAVID AKANA, Member

CHRIS SMITH, Member

-	
2	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
3	I, Carol Olson, certify that I mailed, postage prepaid, copies
4	of the foregoing document on the / day of, 1979,
5	to each of the following parties at the last known post office addresses,
6	with the proper postage affixed to the respective envelopes:
7	George C. Ryker
8	Colonel, JAGC Staff Judge Advocate Office of the Staff Judge Advocate Fort Lewis, Washington 98433
9	
10	Mr. James D. Ladley Attorney at Law P.O. Box 938 Vancouver, WA 98666 Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority 7601-H N.E. Hazel Dell Avenue Vancouver, Washington 98665
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	U. S. Army Vancouver Barracks
16	Vancouver, Washington 98666
17	
18	
19	and the second second
20	Carol W. Olson, Secretary
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 3

S. F. No. 503-4

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 U.S. ARMY, 4 PCHB No. 79-33 Appellant, 5 PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION AND ORDER 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, Respondent. 3 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$50 civil penalty for outdoor burning allegedly in violation of respondent's Section 4.01 of Regulation I, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board by submission in writing as agreed to by the parties representatives.

Appellant was represented by George C. Ryker, Colonel, JAGC; respondent was represented by its attorney, James D. Ladley.

Having considered the written arguments and affidavits of the parties, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

FINDINGS OF FACT

Ι

Respondent, pursuant to RCH 43.21B.260 has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation I containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto of which official notice is taken.

ΙI

During January of 1979, a large accumulation of broken tree limbs resulted from weather conditions in Clark and Cowlitz Counties. In an attempt to assist disposal of the debris, respondent allowed burning by private homeowners of broken tree limbs and other natural vegetation resulting from ice damage. On January 19, 1979, respondent issued a press release which clearly stated that the ban on open burning of natural vegetation by private homeowners was being lifted. The January 21, 1979 edition of the Vancouver Columbian stated:

"The Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority is lifting the ban on open burning so broken tree limbs and other natural debris can be disposed of."

The article did not mention that the lifting of the ban applied to only private homeowners, although the newspaper article indicated the lifting of the ban was in order to help "folks" who needed to get rid of debris.

III

The sub installation commander at appellant's Vancouver barracks relied upon the newspaper article as well as radio broadcasts PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CO: CLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 2

 20°

2)

for information concerning the relaxation of the bar. The commander appointed a subordinate to verify that such fires would meet any safety requirments imposed by the Washington State Patrol and local fire authorities in addition to the requirements lifted by the respondent. Both the State Patrol and the Vancouver Fire Department stated that such fires are not prohibited and that no permit was required. We take official notice that respondent has assessed a civil penalty against the Washington State Patrol who relied upon the same newspaper article as is here involved. See PCHB No. 79-22.

Apparently believing the special lifting of the ban applied to everyone who wished to burn vegetation debris, an agent of the appellant ignited a fire on January 29, 1979. The fire consisted of the natural vegetation with a diminutive amount of wood scraps and sheet metal with wood attached, and was located at the Vancouver Barracks.

IV

Appellant did not apply, nor obtain any permit from the respondent, Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority. Appellant has not previously been cited for violation of the open burning regulations of the respondent. This incident was the result of the publicity regarding respondent's lifting of the ban on open purning. Natural and other debris are normally disposed of at Vancouver Barracks by hauling the debris to a sanitary landfill.

V

At 10:30 a.m. on January 29, 1979, respondent's inspector observed appellant's fire, being conducted by persons other than PPOPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, 3 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

0.0

2;

a private homeowner. A field notice of violation was issued to appellant's agent at that time. The fire was extinguished by appellant's agent and the remaining materials were hauled away to a sanitary landfill. On January 31, 1979, a Notice of Violation of Article IV, Section 4.01 of respondent's Regulation I was issued and a civil penalty of \$50 was assessed.

€

VI

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter stated which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I

Section 4.01 of respondent's Regulation I requires that no person shall ignite, cause to be ignited, permit to be ignited, or suffer, allow or maintain any open fire within respondent's jurisdiction, except in circumstances not applicable here, without doing so under respondent's permit. Appellant caused a fire to be ignited without obtaining a permit from respondent. Consequently appellant violated Section 4.01 of respondent's Regulation I.

ΙI

Although appellant did violate the above section of respondent's Regulation I, there are mitigating circumstances. Appellant reasonably relied upon the information contained in a newspaper article and radio broadcast concerning the limited lifting of the ban on open burning. Apparently appellant has never before violated any of PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT,

respondent's open burning regulations. Furthermore, the fire was
mmediately extinguished by appellant as soon as respondent's
representatives notified appellant of the violation. Consequently
the \$50 penalty should be suspended on condition that appellant not
violate respondent's open burning regulations for a period of one
year from the date of appellant's receipt of this Order.

This incident followed the respondent's attempt to grant courteous, timely and to the community, and appellant has done nothing here to impair its reputation as a good neighbor in that community.

III

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER

The \$50 civil penalty is affirmed, provided however, that the same is suspended on condition that appellant not violate respondent's open burning regulations for a period of one year from the date of appellant's receipt of this Order.

DATED this day of September, 1979.

POLLUTION CONTROL HEAPINGS BOARD

ŧ

WILLIAM A. HARRISON

Presiding Officer

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

27 AND ORDER